

**UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH AWARDS (URA 03)
RECOMMENDATION AND EVALUATION FORM**

Name of the student:

Roll Number:

Academic Unit/ Department:

Section A

Recommendation by the Committee Evaluating the Dual Degree Dissertation in regular course:

The following committee, which evaluated the Dual Degree Dissertation of this candidate, feels that the work done by the candidate towards the Dual Degree Dissertation merits the award of AA Grade in the Dual Degree Dissertation and further merits consideration for the Undergraduate Research Award (URA03) as per Section 8 of the Rules and Regulations for the Undergraduate Programme at IIT Bombay. It is recommended that a committee, duly constituted by the DUGC, examine this work and make further recommendations in this regard. Beyond this, we shall accept the recommendation made by this committee duly constituted by the DUGC at Section B below and if that committee also recommends award of URA03 to the student, we endorse that recommendation 'ipso facto'.

Further comments and/or explanation if any:

Supervisor(s): Name and Signature:	Examiner(s)/ Other Member(s): Name(s) and Signature(s):
Date:	

Section B

**Recommendations by the Committee constituted by the DUGC
to examine the work further:**

*(The Committee must include at least two members **other than** those who participated in the evaluation at Section A above.)*

Specific Recommendation: The Committee, duly constituted by the DUGC with composition as in the sequel, makes the following recommendation in this regard. The recommendation is substantiated with the explanation/ observations/ comments that follow.

(tick one alternative and strike out the other)

	This Committee recommends the award of URA03 to the student.
	This Committee does not recommend the award of URA03 to the student

(please turn over to continue):

Comments/ Explanations/ Observations:

Name of Committee Member	Signature with date:

**Section C
Recommendation by the DUGC:**

Based on the recommendations as above,

*{ tick the correct alternative and strike out the incorrect one.
If Alternative (i) is chosen, then this form, duly completed, should be forwarded to the Convener, UGAPEC for further action.
If Alternative (ii) is chosen, then Section D is redundant and the form should be retained in the academic unit. The supervisor(s) should be informed. }*

(i)	The DUGC recommends the award of URA03 to the student and this evaluation form is therefore being forwarded, herewith, to the Convener, UGAPEC for further action at Section D below.
(ii)	The DUGC does not recommend the award of URA03 to the student. This form is, therefore, being retained in the academic unit, for information and record of the DUGC.

Remarks, if any:

Signature with date of the Convener, DUGC:

Section D: Final Decision by the UGAPEC

Specific decision: This candidate (*please encircle one alternative and strike out the other alternative:*) be awarded / not be awarded URA03.

Remarks and explanation, if any:

Signature with date of the Convener, UGAPEC:

(End of form)